



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/898,754	07/03/2001	William E. Saltzstein	PHYS116783	5287	
75	7590 10/22/2003		EXAMINER		
Steven J. Shumaker			EVANISKO, GEORGE ROBERT		
	SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P.A. 8425 Seasons Parkway		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 105			3762		
St. Paul, MN	55125		DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003	1.3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	IA	pplication No.	Applicant(s)	Y
	<b>-</b> ;	9/898,754	SALTZSTEIN ET AL.	
Office Action Summa		xaminer	Art Unit	
	-	eorge R Evanisko	3762	
The MAILING DATE of this co			rith the correspondence address	
Period for Reply	.,		•	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM  - Extensions of time may be available under the p after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of t  - If the period for reply specified above is less tha  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the ma  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period  - Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	MMUNICATION. revisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) his communication. n thirty (30) days, a reply with ximum statutory period will a for reply will, by statute, cau months after the mailing date	In no event, however, may a nin the statutory minimum of thi oply and will expire SIX (6) MO se the application to become A	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ı <b>.</b>
1) Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on <u>04 Aug</u>	<u>ust 2003</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This a	ction is non-final.		
closed in accordance with th			itters, prosecution as to the merits in D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	s
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-73</u> is/are pending	• •			
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-1</u>		vithdrawn from consid	eration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 15-20</u> is/are				
7) Claim(s) is/are objecte				
8) Claim(s) are subject to Application Papers	restriction and/or el	ection requirement.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)⊡ accepted	or b)  objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that	any objection to the dr	awing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correcti	on filed on is:	a) ☐ approved b) ☐ o	disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings	• • • • • •			
12)☐ The oath or declaration is obje	cted to by the Exam	ner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 1	20			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a	a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ Nor	ne of:			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the p	priority documents ha	ave been received.		
2. Certified copies of the ρ	priority documents ha	ave been received in A	Application No	
	International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage received.	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a				on).
a) ☐ The translation of the fore 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Ro 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
i. Patent and Trademark Office FOL-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action	Summary	Part of Paper No. 1	

Art Unit: 3762

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Claims 10-14 and 21-73 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 12.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-3, 7, and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Morgan et al (5593426).

Claims 1-4 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by the Applicants own admission. Figure 1 and page 2, line 12 to page 3, line 9, in applicants specification describe a prior art or conventional system that meets the limitations of the claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3762

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morgan et al. Morgan uses RF signal carriers and cellular telephone links which are a specialized mobile radio network. In addition, Morgan detects movement of a defibrillator operation parameter such as battery voltage.

In the alternative, Morgan discloses the claimed invention except for the network being a specialized mobile radio network and the status assessment being the power supply voltage level. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the defibrillator and base station communication system as taught by Morgan, with the communication system using a specialized mobile radio network and the status assessment being the power supply voltage level since it was known in the art that communication systems use specialized mobile radio networks to provide a conventional communication network that can easily and inexpensively transfer data on existing networks and since it was known that defibrillators take status assessments of the power supply voltage level to allow the operator/physician/technician to know the level of the power supply to determine if the defibrillator will operate correctly or if the power supply needs to be changed.

Art Unit: 3762

Claims 5, 6, 8 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morgan et al. Morgan discloses that the base communication station may include a computer or a microprocessor controlled device that can include a modem and therefore provides the control unit, interface and user interface of the base/remote station. In the alternative, see the rejection below.

Morgan discloses the claimed invention except for the communication network being a two way paging network (claims 5 and 20), a wired digital data network (claim 6), a wireless LAN (claim 18), and a digital personal communication service network (claim 19), and the remote monitoring service comprising a control unit to initiate communication, an interface to support communication, and a user interface with a display to transfer information between a user and the control unit (claim 8). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the defibrillator and base station communication system as taught by Morgan, with the communication network being a two way paging network (claims 5 and 20), a wired digital data network (claim 6), a wireless LAN (claim 18), or a digital personal communication service network (claim 19), and the remote monitoring service comprising a control unit to initiate communication, an interface to support communication, and a user interface with a display to transfer information between a user and the control unit since it was known in the art that communications systems use the communication network being a two way paging network (claims 5 and 20), a wired digital data network (claim 6), a wireless LAN (claim 18), or a digital personal communication service network (claim 19) to provide a conventional communication network that can easily and inexpensively transfer data on existing networks and since it was known in the art that

Art Unit: 3762

base/remote stations comprise a control unit to initiate communication, an interface to support communication, and a user interface with a display to transfer information between a user and the control unit to provide an operator friendly device that is easy to operate, that allows the base station to communicate with the medical device, and that allows the operator to control the base station and examine data on the display.

In addition, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the defibrillator and base station communication system as taught by Morgan with the communication network being a two way paging network (claims 5 and 20), a wired digital data network (claim 6), a wireless LAN (claim 18), or a digital personal communication service network (claim 19), because Applicant has not disclosed that the communication network being a two way paging network (claims 5 and 20), a wired digital data network (claim 6), a wireless LAN (claim 18), or a digital personal communication service network (claim 19), provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with two way communication system using telephone, cellular or RF signal carriers as taught by Morgan, because they provide a two way communication system that uses existing networks to easily and inexpensively transfer data.

Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Morgan to obtain the invention as specified in the claim(s).

Art Unit: 3762

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Brystrom et al is one teaching of many showing the use of a paging network for communication. Duffin, Begun et al, or Rockwell et al, are teachings of the requirements of the base station. Powers et al is one teaching of many showing the state assessment of power supply voltage level.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George R Evanisko whose telephone number is 703 308-2612. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 703 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-1148.

George R Evanisko Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762 Page 6

GRE October 20, 2003